Detailed clay mineralogy of the Triassic-Jurassic boundary section at Kendlbachgraben (Northern Calcareous Alps, Austria)
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ABSTRACT: The Triassic-Jurassic boundary (TJB) is marked by one of the five largest Phanerozoic mass extinctions. To constrain existing models for TJB events, we obtained a stratigraphically highly resolved dataset from a marine section at Kendlbachgraben, Austria.

The topmost Triassic Kössen Formation contains low to medium-charged smectite and vermiculite as alteration products of mafic-ultramafic minerals. The clay minerals in the boundary mudstone are kaolinite > illite + muscovite >> smectite > chlorite. Predominant kaolinite suggests humid climate and abundant terrigenous input. In the lowermost Jurassic, the clay mineral pattern changes to illite + muscovite >> kaolinite > smectite, which reflects change to less humid and more moderate climate.

The topmost Kössen Formation also contains clay spherules. Their composition, shape and size indicate that they are alteration products of airborne volcanic glass droplets solidified in the air, settled in the sea and altered rapidly with negligible transport in terrestrial or marine environments. Our data are consistent with sudden climatic change at the TJB, as a result of large-scale volcanic activity of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province which produced distal airfall volcanic ash.
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The Triassic-Jurassic boundary (TJB) is marked by one of the five largest Phanerozoic mass extinctions (Raup & Sepkoski, 1982). No consensus has been reached yet about the causes and the mechanism of the extinction and related environmental change, which are thus subject of intensive research (Hesselbo et al., 2007). Previously proposed triggers for the TJB extinction event include a putative extraterrestrial impact (e.g. Olsen et al., 2002) and global eustatic sea level fall (Hallam & Wignall, 1999). More recent research highlighted the synchrony of TJB extinction and volcanism of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP) (Marzoli et al., 1999; Pálfy, 2003; Nomade et al., 2007; Schoene et al., 2010), allowing authors to propose that rapid emplacement of vast amounts of continental flood basalts resulted in a cascade of global environmental change which in turn led to biotic extinction. Prominent negative carbon isotope anomalies are increasingly well documented from multiple localities (Pálfy et al., 2001; Ward et al., 2001; Hesselbo et al., 2002), supporting scenarios that involve perturbations of the global carbon
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cycle. To test the competing models of the TJB events further, we obtained a temporally highly resolved, multidisciplinary dataset, including clay mineralogical data, from the Kendlbachgraben section in the Northern Calcareous Alps in Austria. Palaeogeographically, the studied section belongs to the Eiberg basin, which is also host to the Kuhjoch section, recently chosen as the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the base of Jurassic (Hillebrandt et al., 2007) (Fig. 1).

Our results from major and trace element geochemistry and micromineralogy are reported elsewhere (Pálfy & Zajzon, in press). Here we present in detail the clay mineralogical studies of the Kendlbachgraben TJB section. The main aims of this research are twofold: to search for clay minerals which may represent alteration products of volcanically-derived material, and to characterize changes in the clay mineral composition across the TJB interval in order to infer climatic changes.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Kendlbachgraben section is located about 30 km southeast of Salzburg and 8 km southwest of Wolfgangsee in the Osterhorn Range in Salzkammergut, Austria. Access is via a forest road in the Zinkenbach valley. The studied section is exposed on the steep hillside immediately above the forest road (coordinates 47°41’21”N, 13°21’37”E), only ~300 m NW from the point where the road crosses the gully which exposes the classical long-known Kendlbachgraben section. The stratigraphic significance of this locality for the Triassic-Jurassic boundary was first recognized by Suess & Mojsisovics (1868). Modern studies focussed on palinostratigraphy (Morbey, 1975), changes in facies and macrofauna (Golebiowski & Braunstein, 1988; Golebiowski, 1990), and carbon isotope stratigraphy (Morante & Hallam, 1996; Ruhl et al., 2009).

The Kendlbachgraben section is located within the Eiberg basin, an elongated, Late Triassic–Early Jurassic intraplatform basin on the shelf of the western Neotethys. Correlative, stratigraphically closely similar, and well studied sections elsewhere in the presently E–W trending, 200 km long and 20 km wide Eiberg basin include nearby Tiefengraben (Kürschner et al., 2007), and the base Jurassic GSSP at Kuhjoch and its sister section at Hochalplgraben (Hillebrandt & Krystyn, 2009; Bonis et al., 2009).

At Kendlbachgraben, the base of the section is represented by the uppermost Triassic Eiberg Member of the Kössen Formation, a basinal facies of dark coloured, pure limestone (Fig. 2). Texturally it is dominated by bioclastic wackestone deposited in an open marine intraplatform basin environment. According to our XRD measurements, it is composed of 98–99% of calcite, and only the remaining 1–2% is detrital in origin. The basal part of the overlying Kendlbach Formation is the ~2.5 m thick clay-rich boundary mudstone (“Grenzmergel” in the Austrian terminology) which forms the basal part of the Tiefengraben Member. Higher upsection, this unit is composed of intercalated beds of marl and impure limestone, in turn overlain by the carbonate-dominated Breitenberg Member. Changes
in the mineral composition in the stratigraphic section are shown in Fig. 2 (for more detail see Pálfy & Zajzon, in press). Stable carbon isotope stratigraphy, in agreement with lithostratigraphy, permits good correlation with the GSSP section at Kuhjoch and suggests placement of the TJB in the middle of the Tiefengraben Member. Therefore our results from clay and micromineralogy from the topmost Kössen Formation and the Tiefengraben and Breitenberg Members of the Kendlbach Formation have implications for the environmental history across the TJB.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample preparation

From the studied section, a total of 58 whole rock samples were analysed for major and trace element geochemistry. A subset of 22 samples was investigated for whole rock mineral composition by X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD). The results of those analyses are reported in detail in Pálfy & Zajzon (in press). From the TJB interval (“Grenzmergel”), and the clay-rich marl interbeds in the upper part of the Tiefengraben Member, nine samples were selected for further clay mineralogical studies reported here.

Stereo-microscopic observations were carried out on the sieved >45 μm fraction after carbonate was dissolved in 5 wt.% acetic acid. Clay mineral extraction was done by sedimentation in a water column (distilled water, δ ≈ 2.65 g cm⁻³ and <2 mm) and the procedure was repeated several times. If necessary, calcite was dissolved by 0.1 mol acetic acid.

The clay fraction was tested for the (060) position on disoriented samples and for clay mineral determination on oriented specimens deposited on glass slides. Oriented samples were
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**Fig. 2.** Stratigraphic column of the section. Samples are marked and labelled along the lithologic column. The bars represent the mineral content in wt.%. Respectively they indicate from left to right; calcite (clear section), quartz, clay minerals, feldspar, pyrite, dolomite apatite and aragonite. The clay mineral distribution is shown on the right side of the figure. ICIE, initial carbon isotope excursion; MCIE, beginning of the main carbon isotope excursion; C.m, last occurrence of Choristoceras marshi.
prepared for air-dried and ethylene-glycol solvated testing, and for heat treatment testing at temperatures of 350°C and 550°C. Ethylene-glycol saturation was obtained by vapour immersion of samples for 8 h at 80°C.

Magnesium saturation and subsequent glycerol solvation was used to distinguish between smectite and vermiculite (vapour immersion, 8 h, 90°C). The layer charge of the swelling clay minerals was estimated by potassium saturation. Cation exchange was performed by preparing a suspension of a solid:solute ratio of 1:2 (mg ml⁻¹), 1 mol metal-chloride. The solution was changed at 24, 3 and 0.5 h to obtain total exchange of the interlayer sites.

Analytical techniques

XRPD analyses were performed on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Cu-Kα₁, Bragg-Brentano geometry, secondary graphite monochromator) at the Institute of Mineralogy and Geology, University of Miskolc. Fixed 0.6 mm primary- and secondary-, and 0.2 mm detector slits were used, in a horizontal sample position.

Bulk-rock samples were measured using a rotating sample holder (2–65° (2θ), step-scan 0.04° (2θ), 2 sec/step counting time). Clay fraction samples were analysed for the (060) position (59–64° (2θ), 0.01°, 2 sec/step, rotating sample holder). Oriented samples were measured in the range of 2° (2θ) to 30° (2θ) with 0.04° (2θ) steps and 3 sec/step counting time. The basic investigation protocol for all clay fraction samples included measurements performed on air-dried, ethylene-glycol saturated, and heated samples (the latter with heating to 350°C and 550°C). On those samples which produced significantly different results in the routine tests, Mg and K cation exchange was also performed.

To investigate the altered clay spherules of the sample KB-1, scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) were carried out in two different laboratories. Secondary electron (SE) images were obtained in the Institute for Nanotechnology, Bay Zoltán Foundation for Applied Research (BAY NANO), on a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope (20 kV, 10 µA). EDS and backscattered electron images (BSE) were acquired in the Department of Physical Metallurgy and Metalforming, University of Miskolc, on an AMRAY-1860 T6 instrument (25 kV, 1–2 nA). Area analysis was performed on the measurements. Analytical transmission electron microscopy (ATEM) measurements (TEM images; HRTEM, high-resolution TEM images; SAED, selected area electron diffraction; and EDS, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy) were carried out at BAY NANO, on a FEI Tecnai G² transmission electron microscope (200 kV) using two selected clay samples (KB-1 and KB-8). The TEM samples were prepared on a lacy carbon-coated 300 mesh copper grid from a suspension of separated the clay fraction and distilled water.

RESULTS

X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD)

Our experimental results indicate that the clay fraction of each sample consists of a combination of kaolinite, illite, chlorite, smectite, vermiculite, illite/smectite, and albite in different ratios. The XRPD patterns of the investigated clay fractions are summarized in Fig. 3, which shows the changes in clay mineral fraction throughout the section.

Four samples (KB-1, KB-4, KB-8 and KB-19) were further selected for detailed clay mineralogical study, including K and Mg-saturation, subsequent glycerol solvation, and heat treatments. These data are presented in Fig. 4.

The dominant clay mineral in sample KB-1 is a swelling smectite with an original 15 Å basal spacing expanding to 17 Å upon glycolation and to 18 Å upon glycerol solvation. The K-exchange resulted in the emergence of a plateau-shaped broad peak between ~13 and ~11 Å. The overlapping peak at ~15.1 Å is shifted by K-exchange to a maximum at ~12.3 Å, which may indicate a Mg-dominated species (Barshad, 1954). Analysis of the K-exchanged specimen suggests that smectite has a variable layer charge, ranging from low to medium. The 10 Å peak of K-exchanged sample KB-1 and the 14.4 Å reflection appearing after Mg-saturation and glycerol solvation corresponds to vermiculite, detected in considerable amounts in this sample. Its basal spacing in the original structure is ~14.4 Å. It is associated with swelling chlorite or chlorite/vermiculite (or smectite) mixed-layer mineral, as revealed by the 14.625 Å reflection between 14 and 10 Å. The lowering of chlorite basal spacing by K-exchange and its swelling properties may indicate the presence of partly altered detrital chlorite and swelling minerals.
Besides swelling clay minerals the sample contains small amounts of discrete chlorite and illite and illite/smectite. The clay fraction of sample KB-4 is dominated by similar amounts of smectite, illite/smectite and kaolinite. The presence of variable layer charge smectite was also detected. The EG-saturation produced a peak at ~17 Å, with a possible smaller peak at ~16 Å. The emergence of a residual peak at ~13.6 Å in the specimen heated to 550ºC is

**Fig. 3.** X-ray diffraction patterns of seven oriented samples from the section, based on air dried, ethylene-glycol saturated and heat treated (350ºC and 550ºC) measurements.

**Fig. 4.** X-ray diffraction patterns of four selected samples (a, KB-1; b, KB-4; c, KB-8; d, KB-19). Air dried, heat treated (350ºC and 550ºC), ethylene-glycol (EG) treated and cation exchanged (Mg and K) patterns are shown. Further glycerol saturation was carried out on the potassium-saturated KB-1 sample.
indicative of the presence of discrete chlorite, intergrown with kaolinite, of diagenetic origin. The K-exchanged specimen displays a similar broad, plateau-shaped peak, in this case between ~15 and ~12 Å, suggesting a small layer charge of the smectite and illite/smectite interlayering.

In sample KB-8 no vermiculite or chlorite was detected by the cation exchange and EG treatment procedures. However, after heating to 550ºC, a residual peak at ~13.7 Å emerged, which is indicative of dehydrated brucite layers in the chlorite structure. This again suggests the presence of discrete chlorite as in sample KB-4. In both samples the intensity of this residual peak correlates with the intensity of kaolinite ~7.2 Å. Smectite is present with variable layer charge components, the broad plateau-shaped peak being situated between ~13 and ~10 Å, with an intensification of the 10 Å peak. In this part of the section, kaolinite becomes the dominant clay mineral, partly of detrital origin as indicated by its morphology revealed by TEM, and partly of diagenetic origin, as shown by the intrinsically associated chlorite.

The Mg-exchange treatment resulted mostly in the equalization of peak positions to a ~14.5 Å peak, from the original positions at ~15.1 Å and <14 Å, which indicates an Mg-dominant interlayer composition of the original smectite, with Fe and Ca contributions. The strongest difference in layer charge is observed in sample KB-1, where the ~16 Å and ~15.1 Å peaks are preserved from the ~16.1 Å and ~15.1 Å original peaks. In sample KB-19 the smectite-dominated composition of the clay fraction is re-established, with a general increase in layer charge as shown by K-exchange treatments. However, the Mg-dominated composition is preserved. According to $d_{060}$, the smectite is dioctahedral. Thus, the Fe detected by ATEM-EDS probably occurs in the form of Fe-oxyhydroxide and/or is located mostly in the octahedral layer, replacing Al. The increase in layer charge and decrease in variability of its values stratigraphically upsection is of genetic significance. The smectite in the lower beds was predominantly formed in situ, in a synsedimentary setting, which favours the possibility of octahedral substitution. On the other hand, the smectite in beds higher in the section was mostly formed at the expense of micas; thus the octahedral substitution and layer charge variability is less common. The variability of smectite charge may help identify the origin of the swelling components, as suggested by several authors. In K-exchanged specimens the peaks located in the ~10.3—12.5 Å region indicate authigenic, low-charge material, whereas the shift to the ~9.8—10.3 Å region indicates high charge, mica-derived material (Roberson & Jonas, 1965; Barshad, 1954; Weaver, 1958; Gorbunov & Gradusov, 1966).

The kaolinite:smectite and illite:smectite ratios show an increasing trend in the middle part of the studied section, based on the values of peak height and integrated peak area. The occurrence of discrete chlorite, revealed only after disintegration of the kaolinite structure, is strictly related to the presence of kaolinite. Where the abundance of kaolinite is reduced, remnant chlorite is no longer detected.

**Analytical transmission electron microscopy (ATEM)**

In sample KB-1, the dominant clay minerals are smectite and vermiculite (as determined by XRD and also verified by ATEM) (Fig. 5). Smectite varieties dominated by different cations (Mg, Ca, Fe) were observed in the sample (Figs 6 and 7). All of the measured smectites contain Ca, Mg, K and Fe, but the amounts of these cations are different among the measured grains. The clay minerals in the sample are not well crystallized. The size of smectite crystals is commonly only a few tenths of a nanometre, and they form clusters or aggregates of a few hundred nanometres in size. Adjacent to them some iron oxide grains are also present. Their crystal sizes are in the few hundred nanometre range. Few of them form 200—500 nm sized twinned crystals of a six-rayed star shape.

![Fig. 5. TEM micrograph of smectite crystals from the KB-1 sample.](image-url)
Sample KB-8 contains mainly kaolinite (Figs 8 and 9), apart from some smectite. The kaolinite has a crystal size of ~1 μm. The smectite crystals are similar those in sample KB-1. Similarly to sample KB-1, iron oxides are also present, including similar twinned forms.

**SEM of the altered spherules**

After carbonate dissolution of sample KB-1, the >45 μm fraction of the residue contained pale bluish-green clay spherules, which exhibit perfect round or droplet shapes (Fig. 10). Moreover, some angular shaped grains were also found. The
The chemical compositions of the individual grains, measured by EDX, are given in Table 1. Their formulae could not be calculated for 4 tetrahedral + 2 octahedral cations (supposing true dioctahedralility) and deducing the $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ content in accordance with the $T + O = 6$ requirement. Formulae were calculated instead for 11 oxygen (O$_{10}(\text{OH})_2$ anionic structure) and applying 15% $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ content (from all the Fe), which is a good estimate for mature, dark green glauconitic materials (Tóth, 2007). The calculated formulae are given in Table 2. The composition of the grains ranges from illite to aluminoceladonite, with one glauconite (using the mica nomenclature of Rieder et al., 1998). Note that the chemistry-based classification is not sensitive to the estimated $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ content; it would not change even if the real $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ content were in the 0–50% range (except for the glauconite, which would be classified as illite at 50% $\text{Fe}^{2+}$). The fine morphological details of these grains are shown in Fig. 11.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

**Effects of diagenesis**

Diagenetic overprint appears weak in the section. Given the geological setting of the studied section, first illite, and later chlorite can form in the course of the progressing diagenesis, at the expense of swelling clays (smectite/vermiculite, illite-smectite). The lack of chlorite interstratification (chlorite/vermiculite, corrensite), in addition to the presence of highly expansive clay minerals, indicates only minor alteration of clay minerals due to burial diagenesis. Furthermore, any significant effect of diagenesis can be excluded because kaolinite does...
not show any sign of dissolution or alteration to dickite. The detrital clay minerals predominate in the analysed samples. Two of their characteristics are particularly important for geological explanation: (1) the smectite-vermiculite dominance in sample KB-1; and (2) the kaolinite dominance of the boundary mudstone. These signals are primary, rather than diagenetic in origin; in fact any diagenetic overprint would only weaken them.

Changes in the clay mineral spectra across the Triassic-Jurassic boundary

Two observations warrant discussion and comparison with results from other studies. Firstly, the clay mineral pattern of sample KB-1 is very different from the other samples. It contains dominantly low- to medium-charged smectite and also vermiculite with Mg and Fe cations beside Al in the octahedral sheet. These can be formed from the alteration of mafic and ultramafic minerals (Velde & Meunier, 2008).

Secondly, the clay mineral distribution in the boundary mudstone is kaolinite ≥ illite + muscovite >> smectite > chlorite. The predominance of kaolinite suggests humid climate and intensive terrigenous input. Higher up in the Kendlbach section the clay mineral pattern changes to illite + muscovite >> kaolinite >>
### Table 1. EDS composition of the clay spherules. Values are in wt.%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape of the grain</th>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>20.03</td>
<td>59.47</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>20.24</td>
<td>58.22</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>54.52</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>56.03</td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>57.41</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>9.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>16.61</td>
<td>55.05</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Ang</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>17.17</td>
<td>54.29</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>12.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ang</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>54.72</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Sph, spherule; Ang, angular; Al-celad., aluminoceladonite.

### Table 2. Calculated element distribution in the cation positions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape Grain ID</th>
<th>Sph 1</th>
<th>Sph 2</th>
<th>Sph 3</th>
<th>Sph 4</th>
<th>Sph 5</th>
<th>Ang 6</th>
<th>Ang 7</th>
<th>Ang 8</th>
<th>Ang 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill occ.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe³⁺</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct occ.</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetr occ.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetr occ.</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct occ.</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ill occ.</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetr charge</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>15.37</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>15.67</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>15.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct charge</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite charge</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total charge</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Illite, illite; Al-celad., aluminoceladonite.
smectite, which corresponds to a less humid and more moderate climate.

The clay mineralogy infers the presence of volcanically derived material and sudden climatic change occurring near the TJB. Both phenomena are interpreted as a consequence of distant volcanic activity of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province (CAMP), associated with the onset of rifting of Pangea and frequently cited as a possible trigger of the end-Triassic environmental and biotic crisis (Hesselbo et al., 2007).

Several authors have reported comparable clay mineral distributions from correlative units elsewhere. Ahlberg et al. (2003) reported a clay pattern from southern Sweden (kaolinite ≈ illite >> chlorite and smectite (I-S), from Rhaetian-Jurassic terrestrial sedimentary successions (Höganas Formation), and kaolinite ≈ illite > variable smectite >> chlorite pattern from shallow marine deposits). They concluded that the original detrital composition was better preserved in the shallow marine settings and infer warm, humid conditions and intense weathering.

Michalík et al. (2010) investigated the clay mineralogy of Triassic-Jurassic sections in the Tatra Mts in Slovakia. Illite is the major clay mineral in both sections which were studied. Within the illite group, they distinguish an illite-smectite (probably formed from a smectitic precursor), both in the Rhaetian and Hettangian parts of the sections, they suggest a long-term regime of a seasonally wet and dry climate (see also Righi & Meunier, 1995). In both sections a predominance of detrital kaolinite was found in the “Boundary Claystone”. It is interpreted as a record of the increasing intensity of chemical weathering in the hinterland, because kaolinite preferentially forms under a warm and humid tropical climate in well drained soil profiles with accelerated leaching of the parent rocks due to high precipitation (Robert & Chamley, 1991; Ruffell et al., 2002). Van de Schootbrugge et al. (2009) documented large amounts of kaolinite in the Triletes Beds between the Triassic and Jurassic, at a level where a biological turnover is recorded based on spores and pollens. Michalík et al. (2010) also inferred a climatic and environmental shift from the change in the composition of the illitic material. The presence of authigenic Fe-illite in the uppermost Triassic permitted a reconstruction of the playa environment and oxidizing conditions under arid/semi-arid climate (Środon, 1999), whereas this clay mineral is absent in the overlying Jurassic formation. Clay mineralogy is in agreement with palynological results; thus Michalík et al. (2010) also infer significant climatic change at the system boundary, likely to be related to coeval CAMP volcanism. Based on organic molecules (PAH: polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) and the colour change of spores and pollen, van de Schootbrugge et al. (2009) suggested that massive flood basalt volcanism triggered the extinction. A study by Pieńkowski et al. (2012) reached a similar conclusion on the basis of a change in the colour of miospores, the composition of clay minerals, and the osmium isotope record, interpreting the osmium isotope signal as a record of volcanic fallout to explain these phenomena as a signal of CAMP volcanism. Significantly, neither study has found any evidence for an impact event.

Brański (2009) also used clay minerals as indicators of episodes of intense weathering in the TJB interval in the Holy Cross Mountains in Poland. The clay mineral record of climate change and weathering, causally related to LIP volcanism and consequent biotic extinction, appears similar to other extreme greenhouse episodes, such as in the Toarcian (Early Jurassic) (e.g. Brański, 2010; Dera et al., 2009).

Spherules

The illite/aluminoceladonite composition of the spherules suggests that they are alteration products of volcanic material. The perfect round or droplet shape and the size (<100 μm) indicate their airborne formation. The most likely interpretation is that they represent volcanic glass droplets solidified in the air, which settled down in the sea and subsequently altered rapidly to clay minerals. The volcanic glass is not resistant to transportation processes; thus the preservation of the original shape of the spherules argues strongly that they most probably settled directly from the atmosphere without any significant transportation. Impact origin is a possible alternative interpretation of the spherules. The surface of some spherules shows incipient vesiculation (Fig. 10), favouring an origin from volatile-bearing lava. Since they are of similar composition, a similar clay mineral alteration is feasible for spherules ejected from an impact crater of mafic origin lithology; but our set of observa-
tions at Kendlbachgraben is more compatible with a volcanic origin of the spherules.

Michalik et al. (2007) report calcitized, round spherules with complex alteration from a bed 5–8 m below the TJB in the Zliechov Basin, but they cannot unambiguously identify their origin (impact ejecta, volcanic glass, or aragonitic particles). They occur at a slightly lower stratigraphic position compared with the spherules found in this study.

The presence of the volcanically derived spherules in the Kendlbachgraben section furnishes further evidence for the scenario in which CAMP activity is implicated as a key agent in the end-Triassic global change.
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